Table of contents

Foreword 9

Introduction 11

SECTION A — HANDBOOK: BACKGROUND TO SUSTAINABILITY REPORTING

| 1. | OVERVIEW OF SUSTAINABILITY REPORTING | 15 |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1.1. | Definitions of corporate sustainability reporting | 15 |
| 1.2. | Main drivers for companies to produce sustainability reports | 15 |
| | 1.2.1. Global context – environmental and social challenges | 15 |
| | 1.2.3. Increasing demands from investors | 17 |
| | 1.2.4. Business performance | 17 |
| 1.3. | Benefits of company sustainability reporting to national governments | 18 |
| | 1.3.1. Fulfilment of international agendas | 20 |
| 1.4. | Current context | 20 |
| | 1.4.1. Sustainability reporting in the global sustainability agenda | 20 |
| | 1.4.2. The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) | 21 |
| | 1.4.3. Increasing reporting rates | 22 |
| 1.5. | Key existing frameworks driving sustainability reporting | 25 |
| | 1.5.1. AccountAbility Institute | 25 |
| | 1.5.2. Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) | 26 |
| | 1.5.3. International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC) | 26 |
| | 1.5.4. OECD Guidelines | 27 |
| | 1.5.5. Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) | 27 |
| | 1.5.6. United Nations Global Compact | 27 |
| | 1.5.7. Commonalities of existing reporting frameworks | 28 |
| 1.6. | Further initiatives supporting sustainability reporting | 28 |
| | 1.6.1. CDP | 29 |
| | 1.6.2. Climate Disclosure Standards Board | 29 |
| | 1.6.3. Group of Friends of Paragraph 47 (GoF47) | 29 |
| | 1.6.4. International Financial Reporting Standards | 29 |
| | 1.6.5. ISO 26000 | 29 |
| | 1.6.6. Stock exchanges | 30 |
| | 1.6.7. The Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures | 31 |
| | 1.6.8. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development | 32 |

| 2. | KEY AREAS FOR IMPROVING THE QUALITY | |
|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| | OF CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY REPORTING | 33 |
| 2.1. | Materiality | 33 |
| | 2.1.1. Materiality - organizational boundary | 34 |
| | 2.1.2. Materiality – scope of reporting | 35 |
| 2.2. | Context | 39 |
| | Assurance | 42 |
| 2.4. | Inconsistent reporting | 44 |
| 3. | KEY TOPICS AND INDICATORS | |
| | IN SUSTAINABILITY REPORTING | 45 |
| 3.1. | Frequently reported environmental topics | 45 |
| 3.2. | Frequently reported social and institutional topics | 46 |
| 3.3. | Evolving areas of sustainability reporting | 46 |
| 3.4. | Role of monitoring – indicators | 47 |
| | 3.4.1. Indicator characteristics | 47 |
| 3.5. | Review of existing protocols for social and environmental indicators | 48 |
| | 3.5.1. Greenhouse gas emissions | 48 |
| | 3.5.2. Water consumption | 49 |
| | 3.5.3. Waste and materials | 49 |
| | 3.5.4. Sources for social indicators | 49 |
| 3.6. | Core environmental, social, and institutional indicators for reporting and their relevance to the Sustainable Development Goals | 50 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

SECTION B — CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY REPORTING TOOLKIT

| SECTION B.1 — POLICY REVIEW | 57 | SECTION B.3 — DATA | 85 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| REVIEW OF POLICIES TO ENHANCE CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY REPORTING | 58 | 1. WHAT IS DATA? | 86 |
| Overview of reporting instruments internationally 1.1.1. Role of government actors Policy evaluation process Overview of national policies on requirements for corporate sustainability reporting 1.3.1. Evaluating public policy on sustainability reporting CASE STUDIES OF POLICIES REQUIRING CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY REPORTING APPROACHES TO ENGAGE WITH SMES OVER SUSTAINABILITY REPORTING | 58 59 59 61 62 63 | 2. ROLE OF GOVERNMENTS IN RESPECT TO DATA 2.1. Providing context and comparability 2.2. Aligning corporate sustainability reporting data and the SDGs 3. DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS 3.1. International sustainability reporting databases 3.2. Regional-level platform – Arab Sustainability 3.3. City platforms SECTION B.4 — DISSEMINATION AND COMMUNICATION | 900 900 900 91 |
| SECTION B.2 — MATERIALITY AND SECTOR GUIDELINES | 75 | 1. COMMUNICATING AND DISSEMINATING SUSTAINABILITY PERFORMANCE 1.1. Engaging with companies to enhance dissemination 1.1.1. Company reporting guidelines 1.1.2. Sustainability reporting awards | 96 96 96 |
| BACKGROUND TO MATERIALITY ASSESSMENTS 1.1. Key content principles of a sustainability report 1.1.1. Completeness 1.1.2. Stakeholders engagement 1.1.3. Materiality – Definition and approaches | 76 76 76 76 77 | 2. STRATEGIES TO ENCOURAGE COMPANIES TO DISSEMINATE INFORMATION 2.1. Information campaigns and platforms 2.2. Best-in-class lists | 99 |
| 2. IDENTIFYING WHAT IS MATERIALLY IMPORTANT 2.1. Materiality assessment 2.2. Sector-specific guidelines for sustainability reporting 2.2.1. GRI-related guidance 2.2.2. SASB – sector guidance 2.2.3. Sector initiatives | 79 79 79 79 79 81 | 2.3. Worst-in-class lists2.4. Link to issue specific initiatives | 102 |

LIST OF BOXES

| Box 1: Useful concepts, initiatives, and tools for applying the context-based principle Box 2: Examples of initiatives and guidance to engage with SMEs | 40 71 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| LIST OF FIGURES | |
| Figure 1. Growth in reporting instruments as identified in Carrots and Sticks (2016) report | 14 |
| Figure 2. Breakdown of reporting instruments by type as identified in Carrots and Sticks 2016 | 14 |
| Figure 3. Scope of a sustainability report as defined in the International IR Framework | 32 |
| Figure 4. Examples showing how topics may be relevant inside or outside the organization | 33 |
| Figure 5. Example of materiality matrices | 34 |
| Figure 6. Schematic materiality matrix | 35 |
| Figure 7. One-page summary of South Africa's King IV | 68 |
| Figure 8. Example of output from the Corporate Human Rights Benchmark for agricultural products | 98 99 |
| Figure 9. Example of information provided by the Good Shopping Guide Figure 10. Example of information provided by the Environmental Working Group | 100 |
| LIST OF TABLES | |
| | 4.0 |
| Table 1. Environmental trends and their implications for companies as identified by UNEP's fifth Global Environmental Outlook | |
| Table 2. Comparison of the key principles of the GRI, IIRC, and SASB frameworks Table 3. Summarized example of Brazil's B3 stock exchange factsheet | 26 29 |
| Table 4. Definitions of and approaches to materiality | 31 |
| Table 5. Most frequently reported environmental topics as identified in Raising the Bar | 43 |
| Table 6. Biodiversity indicators frequently reported on | 44 |
| Table 7. Social and institutional indicators frequently reported on, as identified by UNCTAD | 44 |
| Table 8. Evolving areas of sustainability reporting | 44 |
| Table 9. Most frequently used environmental indicators and guidance for key issues | 49 |
| Table 10. Frequently reported social indicators | 51 |
| Table 11. Sample of countries with reporting instruments and the number of other existing reporting instruments | 56 |
| Table 12. Reporting instruments in Chile and RussiaTable 13. Steps in analysing the effectiveness of an existing instrument to drive sustainability reporting | 58 58 |
| Table 14. Definitions of completeness used by main reporting frameworks | 74 |
| Table 15. GRI and IIRC approach to stakeholders | 75 |
| Table 16 . Illustration of where impacts can arise in the life cycle of a product or service | 76 |
| Table 17. SASB's sustainable industry classification system | 78 |
| Table 18. SASB's universe of sustainability issues | 79 |
| Table 19. Context and consistency guidelines for social and environmental indicators | 86 |
| Table 20. Energy consumption and carbon emission benchmarks for UK buildings | 86 |
| Table 21. Water use benchmarks for offices | 86 |
| Table 22. Water use benchmarks for hotels without swimming pools Table 23. Kovistakeholders and their specific interests | 86 95 |
| Table 23. Key stakeholders and their specific interests | 93 |
| LIST OF CASE STUDIES | |
| Case study – International competitiveness | 19 |
| Case study – Demonstrating a commitment to sustainability | 19 |
| Case study – Enabling business to make a positive social contribution | 19 |
| Case study – Satisfying the needs of investors and civil society | 20 |
| Case study – Sustainable procurement standards | 24 |
| Case study – Bombay Stock Exchange | 31 |
| Case study – Marks & Spencer (M&S), Plan A Case study – Puma and the Natural Capital Protocol | 42 47 |
| Case study – Furna and the Natural Capital Protocol Case study – 2.1. United Kingdom (UK) – Integrating policy through the Companies Act | 63 |
| Case study – 2.2. European Union (EU) – Legislation: Non-Financial Disclosure Directive | 63 |
| Case study – 2.3. Denmark – Making use of Financial Statements Act legislation | 64 |
| Case study – 2.4. France – Developing specific reporting regulation | 65 |
| Case study – 2.5. Brazil – Sector-specific regulation for the energy sector | 66 |
| Case study – 2.6. South Africa – Building on stock exchange requirements | 67 |