ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT OF THE SITES IMPACTED BY THE "PROBO KOALA" TOXIC WASTE DUMPING IN ABIDJAN, CÔTE D'IVOIRE



This series of fact sheets was prepared as part of UN Environment's environmental audit of the sites impacted by the "Probo Koala" toxic waste dumping in Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. The fact sheets provide complete analysis results, observations and the recommendations for each of the sampling sites. They should be read in conjunction with the full assessment report, available at: www.unep.org/CotedIvoire

Description du site

Site name: MACA 1

UN Environment site reference no: 5



Spill History

The spill location is located along a busy road in the industrial zone of Yopougon, in front of Abidjan's prison (Maison d'Arrêt et de Correction d'Abidjan, or MACA). It is reported that a truck containing wastes from the Probo Koala remained parked on the roadside for three days, during which time the wastes leaked from the vehicle and discharged into the adjacent ditch. During clean-up works undertaken by Trédi in 2006-2007, the soil within, and adjacent to, the ditch was excavated and taken off-site for treatment. The land adjacent to the ditch is informally cultivated, primarily producing banana and cassava.

Approach

Four soil samples were obtained from the site, as follows:

- One composite surface soil sample (0-20 cm) was taken beside the road where the spill was reported to have flowed;
- A 1 m-depth sample was taken approximately 2 m to the east of the composite soil sample location:
- A second 1 m-depth soil sample was taken from the base of an open ditch which had been excavated for the placement of cabling; and
- A third 1 m-depth soil sample was taken approximately 10 m to the north of the other soil samples.

In addition, one air sample, two groundwater samples and two samples of comestible vegetation (cassava) were collected at the site.

Assessment Criteria

Based on the different analyses of the chemical composition of the samples taken onboard the Probo Koala in 2006, as well as those undertaken on samples collected on the dumping sites, UN Environment considered the following groups as the key contaminants of interest for the audit:

- Petroleum hydrocarbons;
- · Sulfur compounds; and
- Heavy metals.

The speciation of contaminants to be analyzed within the above three groups was primarily determined by what was present in the Probo Koala waste as well as the environmental standards set by the Government of Côte d'Ivoire for clean-up. In addition, the impact of high levels of sodium hydroxide was measured through the pH value of the soil.

The results obtained from the analyses of soil samples were screened according to the following process:

- 1. Findings were first compared with relevant national standards. In this case, results for soil from all the sites where Probo Koala wastes were dumped and which had undergone remediation were compared with the environmental standards set by the Government of Côte d'Ivoire for clean-up operations conducted by Biogénie at Alépé. If the values obtained were lower than the standards set by the Government, UN Environment considered that no additional clean-up intervention was necessary on the site.
- 2. If laboratory results for a given parameter showed values exceeding the clean-up standards set by the Government or contractor, results were then compared with the internationally recognized Dutch soil remediation standards (intervention values) to see if further immediate action was needed from an environmental point of view. Dutch standards have been in existence for over 30 years and are

used as a basis for contaminated site assessment and clean-up in many parts of the world, when local standards are not available. For most parameters of analysis, however, the Government's clean-up standard was more stringent than the Dutch values.

3. Results were also compared with the control sites to see if the observed pollution was also present in the background.

For **air** quality analysis, for which no national standards exist in Côte d'Ivoire, the approach taken was to compare air quality results from the affected sites with Control Site 21.

Three key sets of parameters were analyzed for groundwater samples, which together would constitute a theoretical "fingerprint" of the Probo Koala wastes: (i) sulfur; (ii) phenols; and (iii) hydrocarbons. In addition, a number of heavy metals that were included in the Government's contract for soil clean-up were also analyzed. Results for **groundwater** quality were compared against control site values (Site 19 at Anyama) and, in the absence of national standards for water quality, Dutch intervention values.

Fruit and vegetable samples were tested using similar protocols as those used for analysis of soil and water samples. In the absence of national food quality standards, the European Commission's maximum levels of certain contaminants in food stuffs (EC regulation 1881/2006) are used for comparison. It should be noted that as it was found that there were interferences from naturally occurring substances with the hydrocarbon analyses, the analytical results relating to hydrocarbons were discarded.

Laboratory Analysis Findings

Soil	Site 5 MACA 1				Government standard
Parameters (mg/kg)	0-20 cm	1 m	1 m	1 m	(mg/kg)
Total Hy C5-C44	21.4	3.62	0.397	1.59	1,000
Benzene	< 0.009	< 0.009	< 0.009	< 0.009	1
Ethylbenzene	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	25
Toluene	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	5
Xylene	< 0.009	< 0.009	< 0.009	< 0.009	5
Total sulfur (%)	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	10
Pb	55	22	12	12	400
Cd	0.21	0.2	0.2	0.12	20
As	4.4	4.4	5.4	3.7	37
Cr	66	68	84	59	130
Ni	5.4	5.4	6.1	3.7	140
Со	0.95	0.85	0.96	0.66	240
Hg	0.049	0.054	0.103	0.052	7
Cu	7.1	5.5	5.3	4.2	190
Zn	33	18	12	11	9,000
рН	6.6	5.28	4.18	4.37	

Parameters/units MACA 1 Agboville Dimethyl sulfide ppm v/v < 0.1 < 0.1 Ethyl mercaptan ppm v/v < 0.1 < 0.1 Methyl ethyl sulfide ppm v/v < 0.1 < 0.1 Carbonyl sulfide ppm v/v < 0.1 < 0.1 Hydrogen sulfide ppm v/v < 0.1 < 0.1 Hydrogen sulfide ppm v/v < 0.1 < 0.1 Methyl tert-butyl ether µg/m3 ND ND Benzene µg/m3 ND ND Toluene µg/m3 ND ND Stylene µg/m3 ND ND ND ND ND ND Npm	Air		Site 5	Control site 21
Ethyl mercaptan ppm v/v < 0.1	Parameters/units		MACA 1	Agboville
Methyl ethyl sulfide ppm v/v < 0.1 < 0.1 Carbonyl sulfide ppm v/v < 0.1	Dimethyl sulfide	ppm v/v	< 0.1	< 0.1
Carbonyl sulfide ppm v/v < 0.1 < 0.1 Tertiary butyl mercaptan ppm v/v < 0.1	Ethyl mercaptan	ppm v/v	< 0.1	< 0.1
Tertiary butyl mercaptan ppm v/v < 0.1 < 0.1 Hydrogen sulfide ppm v/v < 0.1	Methyl ethyl sulfide	ppm v/v	< 0.1	< 0.1
Hydrogen sulfide ppm v/v < 0.1 < 0.1 Methyl tert-butyl ether μg/m3 ND ND Benzene μg/m3 ND ND Toluene μg/m3 54 ND Ethylbenzene μg/m3 ND ND Xylene μg/m3 ND ND Naphthalene μg/m3 ND ND TPH (C4-C6) μg/m3 ND 10 TPH (C8-C8) μg/m3 13 20 TPH (C8-C10) μg/m3 120 35 TPH (C10-C12) μg/m3 24 120 Aliphatic (C4-C6) μg/m3 24 120 Aliphatic (C6-C8) μg/m3 ND 17 Aliphatic (C8-C10) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC7-EC8) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC8-EC10) μg/m3 ND ND	Carbonyl sulfide	ppm v/v	< 0.1	< 0.1
Methyl tert-butyl ether μg/m3 ND ND Benzene μg/m3 ND ND Toluene μg/m3 54 ND Ethylbenzene μg/m3 ND ND Xylene μg/m3 ND ND Naphthalene μg/m3 ND ND TPH (C4-C6) μg/m3 ND 10 TPH (C6-C8) μg/m3 13 20 TPH (C8-C10) μg/m3 120 35 TPH (C10-C12) μg/m3 39 53 TPH (C4-C12) μg/m3 24 120 Aliphatic (C4-C6) μg/m3 20 ND Aliphatic (C6-C8) μg/m3 ND 17 Aliphatic (C10-C12) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC8-EC10) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC8-EC10) μg/m3 54 ND	Tertiary butyl mercaptan	ppm v/v	< 0.1	< 0.1
Benzene μg/m3 ND ND Toluene μg/m3 54 ND Ethylbenzene μg/m3 ND ND Xylene μg/m3 ND ND Naphthalene μg/m3 ND ND TPH (C4-C6) μg/m3 ND 10 TPH (C6-C8) μg/m3 13 20 TPH (C8-C10) μg/m3 120 35 TPH (C10-C12) μg/m3 39 53 TPH (C4-C12) μg/m3 24 120 Aliphatic (C4-C6) μg/m3 200 ND Aliphatic (C6-C8) μg/m3 ND 17 Aliphatic (C10-C12) μg/m3 66 31 Aliphatic (C10-C12) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC8-EC10) μg/m3 54 ND	Hydrogen sulfide	ppm v/v	< 0.1	< 0.1
Toluene μg/m3 54 ND Ethylbenzene μg/m3 ND ND Xylene μg/m3 ND ND Naphthalene μg/m3 ND ND TPH (C4-C6) μg/m3 ND 10 TPH (C6-C8) μg/m3 13 20 TPH (C8-C10) μg/m3 120 35 TPH (C10-C12) μg/m3 39 53 TPH (C4-C12) μg/m3 24 120 Aliphatic (C4-C6) μg/m3 200 ND Aliphatic (C6-C8) μg/m3 ND 17 Aliphatic (C10-C12) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC7-EC8) μg/m3 ND ND	Methyl tert-butyl ether	μg/m3	ND	ND
Ethylbenzene μg/m3 ND ND Xylene μg/m3 ND ND Naphthalene μg/m3 ND ND TPH (C4-C6) μg/m3 ND 10 TPH (C6-C8) μg/m3 13 20 TPH (C8-C10) μg/m3 120 35 TPH (C10-C12) μg/m3 39 53 TPH (C4-C12) μg/m3 24 120 Aliphatic (C4-C6) μg/m3 200 ND Aliphatic (C6-C8) μg/m3 ND 17 Aliphatic (C8-C10) μg/m3 66 31 Aliphatic (C10-C12) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 23 ND Aromatic (EC7-EC8) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC7-EC8) μg/m3 54 ND	Benzene	μg/m3	ND	ND
Xylene μg/m3 ND ND Naphthalene μg/m3 ND ND TPH (C4-C6) μg/m3 ND 10 TPH (C6-C8) μg/m3 13 20 TPH (C8-C10) μg/m3 120 35 TPH (C10-C12) μg/m3 39 53 TPH (C4-C12) μg/m3 24 120 Aliphatic (C4-C6) μg/m3 200 ND Aliphatic (C6-C8) μg/m3 ND 17 Aliphatic (C8-C10) μg/m3 66 31 Aliphatic (C10-C12) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 23 ND Aromatic (EC7-EC8) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC8-EC10) μg/m3 54 ND	Toluene	μg/m3	54	ND
Naphthalene μg/m3 ND ND TPH (C4-C6) μg/m3 ND 10 TPH (C6-C8) μg/m3 13 20 TPH (C8-C10) μg/m3 120 35 TPH (C10-C12) μg/m3 39 53 TPH (C4-C12) μg/m3 24 120 Aliphatic (C4-C6) μg/m3 200 ND Aliphatic (C6-C8) μg/m3 ND 17 Aliphatic (C8-C10) μg/m3 66 31 Aliphatic (C10-C12) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 23 ND Aromatic (EC7-EC8) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC8-EC10) μg/m3 54 ND	Ethylbenzene	μg/m3	ND	ND
TPH (C4-C6) μg/m3 ND 10 TPH (C6-C8) μg/m3 13 20 TPH (C8-C10) μg/m3 120 35 TPH (C10-C12) μg/m3 39 53 TPH (C4-C12) μg/m3 24 120 Aliphatic (C4-C6) μg/m3 200 ND Aliphatic (C6-C8) μg/m3 ND 17 Aliphatic (C8-C10) μg/m3 66 31 Aliphatic (C10-C12) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 23 ND 53 Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC7-EC8) μg/m3 ND ND ND	Xylene	μg/m3	ND	ND
TPH (C6-C8) μg/m3 13 20 TPH (C8-C10) μg/m3 120 35 TPH (C10-C12) μg/m3 39 53 TPH (C4-C12) μg/m3 24 120 Aliphatic (C4-C6) μg/m3 200 ND Aliphatic (C6-C8) μg/m3 ND 17 Aliphatic (C8-C10) μg/m3 66 31 Aliphatic (C10-C12) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 23 ND Aromatic (EC7-EC8) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC8-EC10) μg/m3 ND ND	Naphthalene	μg/m3	ND	ND
TPH (C8-C10) μg/m3 120 35 TPH (C10-C12) μg/m3 39 53 TPH (C4-C12) μg/m3 24 120 Aliphatic (C4-C6) μg/m3 200 ND Aliphatic (C6-C8) μg/m3 ND 17 Aliphatic (C8-C10) μg/m3 66 31 Aliphatic (C10-C12) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC7-EC8) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC8-EC10) μg/m3 54 ND	TPH (C4-C6)	μg/m3	ND	10
TPH (C10-C12) μg/m3 39 53 TPH (C4-C12) μg/m3 24 120 Aliphatic (C4-C6) μg/m3 200 ND Aliphatic (C6-C8) μg/m3 ND 17 Aliphatic (C8-C10) μg/m3 66 31 Aliphatic (C10-C12) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 23 ND Aromatic (EC7-EC8) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC8-EC10) μg/m3 54 ND	TPH (C6-C8)	μg/m3	13	20
TPH (C4-C12) μg/m3 24 120 Aliphatic (C4-C6) μg/m3 200 ND Aliphatic (C6-C8) μg/m3 ND 17 Aliphatic (C8-C10) μg/m3 66 31 Aliphatic (C10-C12) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 23 ND Aromatic (EC7-EC8) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC8-EC10) μg/m3 54 ND	TPH (C8-C10)	μg/m3	120	35
Aliphatic (C4-C6) μg/m3 200 ND Aliphatic (C6-C8) μg/m3 ND 17 Aliphatic (C8-C10) μg/m3 66 31 Aliphatic (C10-C12) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 23 ND Aromatic (EC7-EC8) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC8-EC10) μg/m3 54 ND	TPH (C10-C12)	μg/m3	39	53
Aliphatic (C6-C8) μg/m3 ND 17 Aliphatic (C8-C10) μg/m3 66 31 Aliphatic (C10-C12) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 23 ND Aromatic (EC7-EC8) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC8-EC10) μg/m3 54 ND	TPH (C4-C12)	μg/m3	24	120
Aliphatic (C8-C10) μg/m3 66 31 Aliphatic (C10-C12) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 23 ND Aromatic (EC7-EC8) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC8-EC10) μg/m3 54 ND	Aliphatic (C4-C6)	μg/m3	200	ND
Aliphatic (C10-C12) μg/m3 ND 53 Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 23 ND Aromatic (EC7-EC8) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC8-EC10) μg/m3 54 ND	Aliphatic (C6-C8)	μg/m3	ND	17
Aromatic (EC5-EC7) μg/m3 23 ND Aromatic (EC7-EC8) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC8-EC10) μg/m3 54 ND	Aliphatic (C8-C10)	μg/m3	66	31
Aromatic (EC7-EC8) μg/m3 ND ND Aromatic (EC8-EC10) μg/m3 54 ND	Aliphatic (C10-C12)	μg/m3	ND	53
Aromatic (EC8-EC10) μg/m3 54 ND	Aromatic (EC5-EC7)	μg/m3	23	ND
	Aromatic (EC7-EC8)	μg/m3	ND	ND
Aromatic (EC10-EC12) μg/m3 30 ND	Aromatic (EC8-EC10)	μg/m3	54	ND
	Aromatic (EC10-EC12)	μg/m3	30	ND

Groundwater	Site 5 MACA 1		Control site 19 Anyama	Dutch intervention values
Parameters (µg/l)	Factory zone	Factory zone	Borehole	(µg/l)
Total Hy C5-35	< 10	< 10	< 10	600,000
Benzene	< 7	< 7	< 7	30
Ethylbenzene	< 5	< 5	< 5	1,000
Toluene	< 4	< 4	< 4	150
Xylene	< 11	< 11	< 11	70
Free sulfur	< 50	< 50	< 50	-
Pb	0.64	< 0.25	< 0.25	75
Cd	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	6
As	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	60
Cr	< 0.25	< 0.25	0.41	30
Ni	< 0.25	< 0.25	1.5	75
Со	< 0.25	< 0.25	1.4	100
Hg	0.41	0.41	< 0.25	0.3
Cu	9.1	1.9	1.8	75
Zn	4.5	3.1	5.4	800

Fruit and vegetable	Site 5 MACA 1		Control site 21 Agboville	EC regulation (mg/kg)
Parameters (mg/kg)	Cassava	Cassava	Pomegranate	(3. 3)
Total sulfur (%)	0.0862	< 0.02	0.0547	
PAH	< 0.118	0.261	< 0.118	
Pb	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	0.1
Cd	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.1
As	< 0.6	< 0.6	< 0.6	
Cr	< 0.9	< 0.9	1.62	
Ni	< 0.2	< 0.2	0.82	
Со	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.149	
Hg	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14	
Cu	2.01	2.57	3.85	
Zn	24.6	22.2	22.9	

Conclusions and Recommendations

The laboratory results show that the current concentrations of the contaminants of concern in soil are all below the standards set by the Government of Côte d'Ivoire for clean-up. Likewise, hydrocarbon levels in the sample analysed are well below Dutch intervention values. Furthermore, the pH values are not in the caustic range (9 or above), demonstrating that the impact of the disposal of caustic substances can no longer be detected. No further action is therefore needed on this site to remediate the soil impacts of the 2006 toxic waste dumping from the Probo Koala.

The results of the air quality analysis can be summarized as follows:

- Mercaptans, hydrogen sulfide and related components cannot be detected in this site, nor the control site. This is significant considering that the key odorants in the Probo Koala wastes were most likely hydrogen sulfide and mercaptans.
- Concentrations of the various analytes at the affected site are comparable to the concentrations found at the control site.

Two observations can be made regarding the analysis of groundwater quality for this site:

- Samples collected at the site do not show the combined presence of sulfur and hydrocarbons, which could have been indicative of leachate contamination from sites impacted by the Probo Koala wastes.
- Mercury concentrations, however, exceed Dutch intervention values and are above background levels in both samples taken at the site. As conventional treatment systems for drinking water do not remove heavy metals, their concentrations in drinking water supplies should be monitored regularly and treatment such as carbon filtration, should be introduced if needed.

Based on the fruit and vegetable results, the following observations can be made:

- All samples, including the pomegranate sample from Control Site 21 at Agboville, show the presence of various analytes and heavy metals. Fruits and vegetables naturally accumulate heavy metals from the soil. As most of the heavy metals are essential to human health in small quantities, their uptake through fruits and vegetables is not considered to be a risk.
- The EC standard for lead is below the detection limit of the laboratory analyses. However, considering that all samples, including control samples, show comparable heavy metal values, these results are not considered to warrant further follow up.

Site photos



Source: UN Environment



Source: UN Environment



Source: UN Environment



Source: UN Environment