

Central and Eastern Europe and Central Asia Regional Workshop on the Establishment of Legal Limits on Lead in Paint

Co-hosted by the Government of the Republic of Moldova
and the United Nations Environment Programme
19-20 May 2016, Chişinău, Republic of Moldova




Workshop Evaluation

14 responses


Summary

Q1. To what extent was the overall goal of the workshop accomplished? The goal was "to advance understanding, commitment, and action towards the elimination of lead in paint by 2020."




Q2. To what extent were the following objectives of the workshop met?


Objective 1: Share the understandings of the health and environmental risks of the lead in paint




Objective 2: Exchange information on the government policies and stakeholder actions, and available tools and experiences towards the elimination of lead in paint



Objective 3: Introduce available resources on InforMEA and ECOLEX as tools for building knowledge and understanding of environmental law, including legislation on lead in paint




Objective 4: Develop strategies for establishing legal limits on lead in paint at national, regional, and/or sub-regional levels




not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	2	14.3 %
4	4	28.6 %
completely: 5	8	57.1 %

Objective 5: Foster commitment of governments and stakeholders and agree on future actions




not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	5	35.7 %
4	3	21.4 %
completely: 5	6	42.9 %

Q3. Was the agenda of the workshop relevant to achieve objectives?




not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	0	0 %
4	2	14.3 %
completely: 5	12	85.7 %

Q4. Was the subject of the workshop relevant to the needs of your country?




not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	1	7.1 %
4	2	14.3 %
completely: 5	11	78.6 %

Q5. Were the working papers/presentations of the workshop presented appropriate to the needs of the meeting?




not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	0	0 %
4	3	21.4 %
completely: 5	11	78.6 %

Q6. Was the logistical support adequate in helping to achieve your expectations?



not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	0	0 %
4	1	7.1 %
completely: 5	13	92.9 %


Q7. Were the opportunities given to discuss the national action plans on lead paint with other participants adequate?



not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	1	7.1 %
4	1	7.1 %
completely: 5	12	85.7 %

Q8 (1/5). Usefulness of the Workshop Technical Sessions DAY 1: SESSION I (Overview)


Objectives of the Workshop



not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	0	0 %
4	2	14.3 %
completely: 5	12	85.7 %

Objectives of the Workshop (Remarks)

Overview of the Lead Paint Alliance




not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	0	0 %
4	3	21.4 %
completely: 5	11	78.6 %

Overview of the Lead Paint Alliance (Remarks)

Overview of the Lead Paint Alliance Regulatory Toolkit


not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %



Overview of the Lead Paint Alliance Regulatory Toolkit (Remarks)


Q8 (2/5). Usefulness of the Workshop Technical Sessions DAY 1: SESSION II (Technical Session)

Lead in Paint: Health, Environment, and Economic Impacts



Lead in Paint: Health, Environment, and Economic Impacts (Remarks)


Guidance on Building Blocks for a Legal Framework and Global/Regional Status of Lead in Paint



Guidance on Building Blocks for a Legal Framework and Global/Regional Status of Lead in Paint (Remarks)

Very interesting even if no lawyer


Summarised Existing Case Studies in the Toolkit



Summarised Existing Case Studies in the Toolkit (Remarks)

Case Studies from the Central and Eastern Europe and Central Asia countries

not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %




3	0	0 %
4	5	35.7 %
completely: 5	9	64.3 %

Case Studies from the Central and Eastern Europe and Central Asia countries (Remarks)

Q8 (3/5). Usefulness of the Workshop Technical Sessions DAY 1: SESSION III (Discussion Session)

Group Discussion of Potential National Implementation Strategies for Application of the Toolkit to Establish Lead Paint Laws (Part I)




not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	0	0 %
4	4	28.6 %
completely: 5	10	71.4 %

Group Discussion of Potential National Implementation Strategies for Application of the Toolkit to Establish Lead Paint Laws (Part I) (Remarks)

Good discussion

Group Reporting




not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	1	7.1 %
4	3	21.4 %
completely: 5	10	71.4 %

Group Reporting (Remarks)

Not so clear

Wrap Up Discussion




not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	0	0 %
4	4	28.6 %
completely: 5	10	71.4 %

Wrap Up Discussion (Remarks)

Q8 (4/5). Usefulness of the Workshop Technical Sessions DAY 2: SESSION II (Technical Session) Continuation

Alternatives to Lead in Paint




not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	0	0 %
4	7	50 %
completely: 5	7	50 %

Alternatives to Lead in Paint (Remarks)

Very important


Engaging SMEs and Paint Manufacturers



not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	0	0 %
4	5	41.7 %
completely: 5	7	58.3 %

Engaging SMEs and Paint Manufacturers (Remarks)


Analytical Methods and Quality Assurance Strategies for Blood Lead Testing



not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	0	0 %
4	4	28.6 %
completely: 5	10	71.4 %

Analytical Methods and Quality Assurance Strategies for Blood Lead Testing (Remarks)


Sampling and Testing Paint



not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	0	0 %
4	4	28.6 %
completely: 5	10	71.4 %

Sampling and Testing Paint (Remarks)


Raising Awareness



not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	0	0 %
4	4	28.6 %
completely: 5	10	71.4 %

Raising Awareness (Remarks)

OSCE and the Aarhus Centres: A Tool for Raising Awareness and Supporting Promotion of Legislation on Lead in Paint




not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	1	7.1 %
3	0	0 %
4	1	7.1 %
completely: 5	12	85.7 %

OSCE and the Aarhus Centres: A Tool for Raising Awareness and Supporting Promotion of Legislation on Lead in Paint (Remarks)

Not so relevant I think


GEF Support to the Elimination of Lead in Paint



not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	0	0 %
4	2	14.3 %
completely: 5	12	85.7 %

GEF Support to the Elimination of Lead in Paint (Remarks)

Session report



not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	0	0 %
4	2	14.3 %
completely: 5	12	85.7 %


Report Session (Remarks)

I like the tests on the website. This presentation was not so long as other what is good

Q8 (5/5). Usefulness of the Workshop Technical Sessions DAY 2: SESSION III (Discussion

Session) Continuation


Group Discussion of Potential National Implementation Strategies for Application of the Toolkit to Establish Lead Paint Laws (Part II)



not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	1	7.1 %
4	3	21.4 %
completely: 5	10	71.4 %

Group Discussion of Potential National Implementation Strategies for Application of the Toolkit to Establish Lead Paint Laws (Part II) (Remarks)


Group Reporting



not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	2	14.3 %
4	2	14.3 %
completely: 5	10	71.4 %

Group Reporting (Remarks)


Workshop Outcomes



not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	0	0 %
4	4	28.6 %
completely: 5	10	71.4 %

Workshop Outcomes (Remarks)

Next Steps and future Lead Paint Alliance's work



not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	0	0 %
4	4	28.6 %
completely: 5	10	71.4 %

Next Steps and future Lead Paint Alliance's work (Remarks)

Usefulness of the Lead Paint Alliance Regulatory Toolkit

Q9. Are there any missing elements from the Toolkit framework that you would like to mention?

No

.


Perhaps on how to draft but maybe to specificities

Not that I could mention at this stage

N / A


-

Q10. Does this Toolkit framework help you to develop a national strategy for lead paint laws in your country?



not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	1	7.7 %
4	3	23.1 %
completely: 5	9	69.2 %


Q11. How well do you think was the Toolkit framework delivered to users/applicable audiences?



not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	1	7.7 %
4	4	30.8 %
completely: 5	8	61.5 %


Usefulness of the InforMEA E-learning Tool

Q12. In case you have completed the online course(s): How useful did you find the e-learning course(s) as a tool for obtaining knowledge for each topics?



not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	1	10 %
4	3	30 %
completely: 5	6	60 %

Q13. Do you think the e-learning course is a suitable tool that could be based on the Lead Paint Alliance Regulatory Toolkit?



not at all: 1	0	0 %
2	0	0 %
3	1	10 %
4	2	20 %
completely: 5	7	70 %

Q14. Please share your ideas on developing an InforMEA e-learning course in relation to the Lead Paint Alliance Regulatory Toolkit.


The courses are not so difficult. Good to do also to share.

Make it a bit difficult

It is necessary to have such a course.

Usefulness of the Workshop and Next Steps

Q15. Overall how helpful did you find this workshop?



Q16. Please identify two actions that your government and/or organizations will take to implement lead in paint laws in your country.

.

Discuss with colleagues if we need law

Improve enforcement activities

Awareness raising of the decision makers, Become a member of Lead paint alliance,

not applicable

- Capacity building civil community to help and improve legal and regulatory capacity - Consolidation of effort to establish control and laboratory capacity for identification of lead in paints

- Elaboration of legislation - Awareness raising activities

After the implementation of the analysis of the paint samples on lead, recommendations will be developed and communicated to relevant authorities.

Develop recommendations for the state authorities.

Legislation. Learning.

- Establish measurable standard - Establish paint manufacturers association

Q17. Please list any improvements you would suggest to a regional/sub-regional workshop agenda if a similar workshop were to be conducted again in future.

.

This bank card was not easy .

More practical case studies for implementation legal limits

/

Action plans as such were not discussed and I came away from the workshop unsure what countries would now go on to do to improve legal controls on lead in paint. There were two discussion sessions that essentially covered the same topics so perhaps one could have been an identification of the problems/obstacles and the other could have been on solutions. It would also have been good to have written reporting back rather than just verbal (though time was limited). The 8:00 start time on Friday was not achieved, partly I suppose because quite a few delegates were not staying at the Leogrand, so perhaps a more realistic start time would be best in future meetings.

- More detailed information on legislative issues - Practical workshop on using of x-ray device

Invite additional representatives of the governments

Number of daily responses

