

GFEI SUB-REGIONAL WORKSHOP: PROMOTING CLEANER, MORE ENERGY EFFICIENT VEHICLE STRATEGIES IN MAURITIUS AND THE SOUTHERN AFRICA REGION

THE KENYAN EXPERIENCE

Ravenala Hotel, Balaclava

Republic of Mauritius

12th to 13th October 2017 Peter Ng'ang'a Kaigwara

Environment Health and Safety, C&E Manager Energy Regulatory Commission

INTRODUCTION: GFEI KENYAN PILOT PROJECT

- The GFEI study in Kenya for the 2010-2012 period.
- The study was to support the government develop strategies for improved fuel efficiency of vehicles.
- Light duty vehicles inventory for the period 2010-2012, was compiled, analysed and synthesized.




T.O.R. OF THE GFEI KENYAN PILOT PROJECT

- Develop vehicles inventory for Kenya during the 2010-2012 period and assess the trend in average fuel economy and CO₂ emissions.
- Review existing National regulations/incentives to promote cleaner and fuel efficient vehicles.
- Establish the amount of green house gas emissions and the related social and health costs.
- Conduct a cost benefit analysis of various policy interventions / scenarios.



VEHICLE INVENTORY 2010-2014



Energy Regulatory Commission

VEHICLE REGISTRATION DATA AND PROJECTIONS

Year	2010 (Actual)	2011 (Actual)	2012 (Actual)	2013 (Actual)	2014 (Actual)	2030 (Projected)	2050 (Projected)
Light Duty Vehicles	93,136	96,484	110,474	94,017	102,606	307,445	518,025
Cumulative (All vehicles in Millions)	1.65	1.85	2.02	2.2	2.5	5.0	8.0




Fuel Economy and CO₂ emission standards

Year	Average fuel Consumption Metric combined(L/100Km)	Average CO ₂ emission (g/Km)
2010	7.4	178.2
2011	7.6	182.0
2012	7.7	185.4
2013	7.9	178.0
2014	6.7	160.0
Grand Average	7.5	181.7



Fuel Economy and CO₂ emission standards

Year	Average fuel Consumption Metric combined(L/100Km)	Average CO ₂ emission (g/Km)
2010	7.4	178.2
2011	7.6	182.0
2012	7.7	185.4
Grand Average	7.5	181.7






CO₂ (g/km) and fuel consumption (L/100km)

Vehicle Condition	Average of Fuel Consumption (L/100km)	Average of CO2(g/km)	
New	8.5	208	3.6
Used	8.8	215	5.3
Grand Total	8.8	215	5.2

Year of vehicle	Fuel Type		
registration			
2010	8.0	7.2	7.4
2011	7.9	7.5	7.6
2012	8.0	7.6	7.7
Grand Average	8.0	7.4	7.5




Fuel Consumption by Engine Displacement






Registration of Vehicles by Year of Production






Comparison of L/100km with selected countries






Average CO₂ emission for select countries





Registration of Motorcycles







COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS



CBA: Options on fuel and CO₂ emission controls

Transport Sector	Scenarios			
Management Options				
Option 1: Status Quo	Predominantly based on vehicle technology			
	No enhanced enforcement of all regulations			
	No inspection routines for all vehicles			
	Current state of infrastructure			
Option 2: All policies	Full regular inspection and enforced compliance to			
in place	existing standards			
	Improved infrastructure			
	Increased population of hybrid vehicles			
Option 3: Vehicle	Labelling of CO ₂ emission of vehicles			
Options	Restriction on age of imports			
	CO₂ based acquisition costs			
Option 4: Fuel Tax	■ Tax and levies on fuel			
Options	Taxation incentives on acquisition of fuel efficient			
	vehicle			

CBA: Options

Table 1: Identification of Direct Policy Effects on Fuel Efficiency and Vehicle Emissions					
OPTION 1	2012	2030	2050		
If Status quo (gCO2/Km)	185.35	137	105		
(L/100km)	7.73	5.82	4.51		
OPTION 2	2012	2030	2050		
All Policies Implemented (gCO2/Km)	185.35	113	69		
(L/100km)	7.73	4.79	2.95		
OPTION 3	2012	2030	2050		
Vehicle Options (gCO2/Km)	185.35	123	82		
(L/100km)	7.73	5.21	3.49		
OPTION 4	2012	2030	2050		
Fuel tax Options (gCO2/Km)	185.35	138	104		
(L/100km)	7.73	5.88	4.48		



Challenges for Reduction of Vehicle Emissions

- Lack of full implementation of existing laws and standards
- Inspection of not done for all -Vehicles.
- General Awareness of environmental issues is limited
- Inadequate inter-sectoral mechanisms
- Data on vehicles, vehicular emissions and air quality not aggregated and accessible
- Some provisions in the legislation needs review.

- Lack of Urban Transport Policy
- Lack of policy frameworks on Biofuel Development
- Lack of Adequate Vehicle
 Standards and
 Specification
 - Lack of Law to Reward use of Fuel Efficient Vehicle
 - Lack of Laws to Promote Less Polluting Fuel



Discussion

- The absence of prominent contribution from electric/hybrid vehicles is unusual and attributable to insufficient general public awareness of fuel efficiency issues.
- Increased population of motorcycles and their extensive use has high social cost in form of increased accidents and contribution to deterioration of urban environment.
- Diesel engines produce less CO and HC, have greater fuel economy and produce less CO₂ per km.
- Petrol engines produce virtually no particulate matter, produce more CO₂ per km and have higher emission of the regulated pollutants.

Energy Regulatory Commission

18

RECOMMENDATIONS

Vehicle Inspection:

- Regular inspection inclusive of monitoring of emissions need to be enacted and enforced for all vehicles.
- The capacity of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Unit should be increased. Consideration should be given to licensing of credible garages in the inspection process.
- Social costs associated to motorcycles can be reduced through ensuring of competency of riders, enforcing proper loading and regular assessment for road worthiness.



Recommendations Cont.....

 Motorcycles with two stroke engines are highly polluting and have high fuel consumption. The country should restrict/ban their import.

Taxes:

- Fuel tax options not to be implemented as stand alone
- Introduce Tax Rebate System to Reward Less
 Polluting Vehicles while charging a fee for the more polluting
- Apply revenue from fuel taxes in transport



Energy Regulatory Commission

Recommendations Cont.....

Infrastructure:

- The development of an urban transport policy is imperative
- Updated traffic management information systems should be maintained.

Health surveillance:

- Surveillance of total suspended particulate (TSP) matter and elemental concentrations should be continuous.
- Conduct periodic estimation of economic burden of vehicle emission pollutants related illnesses to plan and implement control and prevention policies & programs



Recommendations Cont.....

Public Awareness:

- Enhanced public awareness on vehicle usage and vehicle efficiency implications to environment.
- Capacity building to improve competencies through on job training to screen diseases related to air pollutants

Vehicle Standards:

Reduce use of private cars and enhance mass transit



FUEL ECONOMY LABELING AND FEEBATE PROGRAMME



FUEL ECONOMY LABELING AND FEEBATE PROGRAMME STUDY

• In February 2015 the Energy Regulatory Commission contracted UNES Ltd to carry out a feebate and vehicle labeling study as a follow-up to the recommendations proposed in the GFEI study.



T.O.R. OF THE LABELING AND FEEBATE PROGRAMME

- Conduct comparative analysis of various programs in the world.
- Identify success factors for vehicle labeling and feebate programs through review of programmes implemented world-wide.
- Undertake feasibility assessment based on interaction and study of stakeholders.
- Conduct surveys and analysis of consumer behavior focusing on car dealers.



Cont....

- Design of a vehicle labeling system of both new and used imported vehicles.
- Economic /Financial models analysis to guide selection of candidate proposals.
- Review various models of new vehicle purchase schemes, e.g., Trade-ins/scrappage/Buy backs, credit schemes etc., for both public and private sectors.
- Recommend type and age of vehicle for scrappage.
- Establish terms and conditions for scrappage programmes.
- Design of suitable new vehicle purchase schemes.



INTERNATIONAL BEST PRACTICE ON FUEL ECONOMY LABELING

- Mandatory labeling for all LDV
- Presentation of fuel consumption data and CO2 emission
- Presentation of cost estimate for the next few years on the label
- Link label to fiscal policies
- Point out Influence of driving style and vehicle use
- Use branding strategies and supplement label with online-tools



VEHICLE LABELING FOR SELECTED COUNTRIES

Countries	Test Cycle	CO ₂ emission Displayed?	Fuel Consumption /Economy Unit	Comparison	Mandatory (Yes/No)	Year of Introduction
United States	5 Cycle	Yes	mpg	Relative: Fuel economy Absolute: GHG and smog	Yes	1975
China	NEDC	No	l/100km		Yes	2010
South Korea	FTP-75 (up till 2011) US comb. (2012~)	Yes	km/l	Relative: Fuel economy	Yes	2006
India	NEDC	No	km/l	BEE: Relative and absolute SIAM: Relative	No	2012
C:nganaya	LINIECE Data (NEDC)	No (old)	l/100km	Relative: CO ₂ emission	Yes	2013
Singapore	UN ECE R 101 (NEDC)	Yes (new)	1/100KIII	Absolute: Fuel consumption	Yes	
Brazil	FTP-75	No	km/l	Relative: Energy consumption by car class	No	2009
Chile	FTP-75	Yes	km/l	Absolute	Yes	2011
Australia	ADR 81/02 (NEDC)	Yes	l/100km	Absolute	Yes	2000
New Zealand	NEDC (new cars) Japanese 10-15 (used	No	l/100km	Absolute	Yes	2011
New Zealand	Japanese 10-15 (used cars)	140	1/100KIII	Absolute		
EU	NEDC	Yes	l/100km	Absolute	Yes	2011
South Africa	SANS 20101: 2006	Yes	l/100km		Yes	2008



PROPOSED VEHICLE LABELS FOR KENYA





registered on or after January 2016

Proposed Label - 1




Cont..... Carbon emissions based programme is a new vehicle purchase programme that will apply to vehicles J registered on or after January 2016 CO₂ Emissions Fuel Consumption (L/100km) (g/km) 169.88 7.32 Vehicle actual fuel consumption and co, emissions will depend on the driving behavior as well as other non technical factors such as traffic condition and vehicle condition. Co, is the main green house gas responsible for global warming.

Proposed Label - 2



Cont.....



Proposed Label - 3



FORESEEN CHALLENGES

- Challenges in Data Management
- Misrepresentation of Vehicle Information
- Non Compliance
- Ignorance
- Slow Implementation of Proposed Program



NEW VEHICLE PURCHASE SCHEME

New and more efficient vehicles protect the environment, stimulate the automotive industry and reduce vehicle abandonment.

Typical vehicle purchase schemes:

- Trade-in's
- Scrappage schemes

Goals of vehicle scrappage:

- Stimulator of vehicle industry
- •As a tool to preserve employment and promoting socio economic development.
- As a promoter of green economy

Opportunities

- Local manufacturing of affordable vehicles in Kenya, e.g., Peugeot and Volkswagen
- Mortgage Schemes




FEEBATE PROGRAMME

- A feebate is a market based policy for encouraging reduction of greenhouse gas emissions from the passenger vehicles by levying fees on relatively high emitting vehicles and providing rebates on lower emitting vehicles.
- Provision of information on fuel consumption using labels on the vehicle is important in enlightening customers on fuel economy to encourage choices based on financial running costs.




AWARENESS OF FEEBATE PROGRAM IN KENYA





RESPONDENTS WILLINGNESS TO PAY EMISSION FEES





Energy Regulatory Commission

CONCLUSIONS

Feebate Programme

Differentiated Import Duty

- Import of used vehicles Maximum age is 8 years
- ■2015, National Treasury introduced differentiated taxation scheme
 - 0 to 3 years additional Import Duty of US\$ 1,450
 - ■4 to 8 years additional Import Duty of US\$ 1,960
- However, it was not well structured and led to reduction in imports of used vehicles and hence reduction of revenues. It was scrapped a year later



RECOMMENDATIONS

Used and New

- ■Currently, Government offering incentives for local manufacturing of affordable vehicles.
 Several manufacturers have opened factories in Kenya, e.g., Peugeot and Volkswagen
- 8 year rule to also be adopted by other East African countries

Labeling Program

- Kenya Bureau of Standards (KEBs) in consultation with (ERC) and stakeholders to develop a standard on vehicle labeling.
- KEBS to develop a web site to be launched in conjunction with the label new consumer-focused to provide more detailed information, with tools, access to applications social and media



Related Initiatives

Supporting initiatives

- Air Quality Task Force for Mobile Sources
- Air Quality Coordination
 Framework Committee
- Vehicle Inspection capacity improvement – NTSA
- Rail

Energy Regulatory Commission

- Cleaner Fuels 50 ppm EAC
- Improvement of road networks – KENHA, KURRA

 NAMATA This project aims to support Kenya's first Mass Rapid Transit System (MRT) **Nationally** as Appropriate Mitigation Action (NAMA). The NAMA is designed to provide modal alternatives and aims to shift significant shares of individual travel to commuter rail and a new Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) system.

Partners - Donors













Partners

- Task Team
 - National Treasury
 - Ministry of Transport
 - Ministry of Environment
 - Ministry of Energy and Petroleum
 - Energy Regulatory Commission
 - National Transport and Safety Authority
 - National Environment Management Authority
 - Kenya Bureau of Standards
 - General Motors

Energy Regulatory Commission

- Sustainable Transport Africa
- Petroleum Institute of East Africa
- Consultant University of Nairobi

END

Thank you for listening

