SINGAPORE

UNEA-2 Feedback Survey

- 1. How would you rate UNEA overall?
 - a. Not successful at all
 - b. Partially successful
 - c. Successful
 - d. Very successful

You are welcome to elaborate: It was overall a successful meeting given the turnout rate and the good number of resolutions adopted at UNEA-2. However, the organisation and time management of the Committee of the Whole (COW)/drafting group sessions can be further improved. Also delegations could be better advised on the procedures (e.g. registering interest to take the floor) for the various HLS sessions.

- 2. How would you rate the support provided by UNEP for your participation in UNEA?
 - a. Needs improvement
 - b. Adequate
 - c. Good
 - d. Outstanding

You are welcome to elaborate: Airport facilitation for VIPs (e.g. Ministerial-level and above) can be further improved. Would be useful if there was a UNEP helpdesk for VIPs stationed at the airport terminals to help address queries.

3. How do you rate the effectiveness of the following tools?

	Hopeless	Needs	Adequate	Excellent	Did not use
		improvement			
UNEP Event			\checkmark		
Арр					
UNEA			\checkmark		
Website					
Access to			\checkmark		
official					
documents					

- 4. Would you recommend colleagues to attend the next UNEA?
 - a. Yes, definitely
 - b. Maybe
 - c. No
- 5. Which session or side event was your favourite? Tell us why. The session/side event on sustainable consumption and production. It was relevant to a lot of aspects of environmental protection and provided a holistic framework for understanding of environmental management from a lifecycle perspective.

- 6. What would you recommend we do differently to improve your UNEA experience?
 - Better organisation and time management of the Committee of the Whole/drafting group sessions
 - Clearer advice on procedures (e.g. registration of interest to speak) for various HLS sessions
 - Improved airport facilitation for VIPs
- 7. How effective was UNEA in informing you about key environmental issues?
 - a. Not effective at all
 - b. Partially effective
 - c. Effective
 - d. Very effective
- 8. What was the most valuable aspect of your attendance at UNEA (i.e. resolution adoption, partnership, networking, etc.)? Negotiating the resolutions, attending relevant side events and attending the high-level segment events.
- 9. Which of the following issues are you now more aware of?
 - a. Illegal trade in wildlife
 - b. Marine litter
 - c. Sustainable consumption and production
 - d. Food waste
 - e. Waste management
 - f. Sound management of chemicals
 - g. Coral reefs management
 - h. Management of natural capital
 - i. Lead in paints
 - j. Human health and well being
 - k. Sustainable transportation
 - I. Air pollution
 - m. Migratory species
 - n. Black carbon
 - o. Environmental dimension of SDGs
 - p. Other:
- 10. How would you rate the quality of UNEP Publications?

	Poor	OK	Good	Excellent
Quality of			\checkmark	
science and				
policy analysis				
How well does			✓	
UNEP				
communicate its				
science and				

policy analysis			
Effectiveness in		\checkmark	
ensuring that its			
reports reach			
important			
stakeholders			

You are welcome to elaborate:

- 11. Do you perceive UNEP as the leading global voice on the environment?
 - a. Yes, definitely
 - b. On some issues
 - c. Not really
 - d. No

Please elaborate: We regard UNEP as the leading body that coordinates environmental matters within the UN system, to which UNEA has the responsibility of taking strategic decisions and providing political guidance for the work of UNEP.

- 12. What type of organisation are you affiliated with?
 - a. Government
 - b. UN
 - c. NGO
 - d. Private Sector
 - e. Research
 - f. Educational
 - g. Media
 - h. Other international organisation
- 13. Can we send you follow-up questions?
 - <mark>a. Yes</mark>
 - b. No
- 14. Would you like a copy of the UNEA survey summary?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
- 15. Email: miak_aw@mewr.gov.sg
- 16. Name: Miak Aw Hui Min
- 17. Organisation: Ministry of the Environment and Water Resources